BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MARC SPITZER MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES

In the matter of:)
Brixon Group Ltd. 1616 East Main, Suite 128 Mesa, Arizona 85203))))
Joseph Wayne McCool a.k.a. Joe McCool and Jane Doe McCool, husband and wife 5306 East Boise Street or 5304 East Boise Street Mesa, Arizona 85205))))))
Donald John Manning a.k.a. Don Manning and Jane Doe Manning, husband and wife 8260 East Keates Avenue, #502 Mesa, Arizona 85208)))))
Cameron Guy Campbell and Nanette Campbell, husband and wife 2375 Terraza Salvo Carlsbad, California 92009-6623)))))
Respondents.)

DOCKET NO. S-20402A-05-0569

DECISION NO. <u>68270</u>

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER OF RESTITUTION, AND ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES

RE: RESPONDENTS BRIXON GROUP LTD., JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL AND DONALD JOHN MANNING

I.

PROCEDURAL POSTURE

On August 9, 2005, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties, and for other affirmative action against Brixon Group Ltd., Joseph Wayne McCool, Donald John Manning ("RESPONDENTS"), Cameron Guy Campbell, and Nanette Campbell alleging violations of Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 *et seq.* ("Securities Act").

The Notice of Opportunity for Hearing specified that Brixon Group Ltd., Joseph Wayne McCool, and Donald John Manning would be afforded an opportunity for an administrative hearing upon a written request filed with the Commission's Docket Control within ten (10) days after receipt of the Notice, in accordance with A.A.C. R14-4-306(B).

The Division has made numerous efforts to locate and directly serve RESPONDENTS. Specific efforts to locate and serve each of these RESPONDENTS are enumerated in Affidavits in Support of Publication, previously filed in this case. Upon information and belief, none of the RESPONDENTS are represented by counsel.

Pursuant to A.C.C. R-14-4-303(H)(2)(a), the Division has published a statement regarding the administrative proceedings at least once a week for four consecutive weeks in the *Arizona Business Gazette* on September 1, 8, 15, and 22, 2005. The *Arizona Business Gazette* is published in Maricopa County, which is the county of each of the RESPONDENTS' last known residence or place of business. A certified copy of the notarized publication has previously been filed in this case.

Pursuant to A.C.C. R-14-4-303(H)(3) service of process of the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing as to each RESPONDENT is complete. Each RESPONDENT failed to request an administrative hearing within ten (10) days after receipt of the Notice.

II.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. RESPONDENTS were doing business at 1616 East Main St, Suites 128 and 206, Mesa, Arizona 85203.
 - 2. RESPONDENTS are not registered as securities salesmen or dealers in Arizona.
- 3. RESPONDENTS sold securities in the form of investment contracts, which were called Capital Private Placement Agreements, within or from Arizona.

- 4. The <u>Capital Private Placement Agreements</u> offered for sale by the RESPONDENTS were not registered in Arizona nor were they exempted from registration.
- 5. RESPONDENTS provided <u>Capital Private Placement Agreements</u> at the time of sale to investors.
- 6. RESPONDENTS assured <u>Capital Private Placement</u> investors that their principal was secure because it was insured; and that each investor would be provided an insurance policy.
- 7. The <u>Capital Private Placement Agreement</u> provided that investors agree to place their invested "sums into the investment coordinator's control and management," pursuant to a power of attorney. The investors expected to earn a profit solely through the efforts of the investment coordinator or some one other than themselves.
- 8. The <u>Capital Private Placement Agreements</u> state that investor funds are to be privately placed in high-yield insurance portfolio investments with a promised "return on investment profits equal to 10% per month." RESPONDENTS did not put investor funds into high-yield insurance portfolio investments.
- 9. RESPONDENTS used investor funds for personal expenses, for office expenses and salaries, for attorney fees, and for other undesignated distributions and failed to disclose to investors.
- 10. From on or about January, 2000, until on or about February, 2002, some <u>Capital Private</u> Placement investors received monthly interest payments.
- 11. RESPONDENTS solicited investors to roll their 401K or IRA accounts into self-directed accounts at Resource Trust Corporation.
- 12. RESPONDENTS promised investors a 5% monthly return on investment on the accounts at Resource Trust Corporation and promised that the principal in the accounts would be secured by an insurance policy.

13. RESPONDENTS withdrew the principal from the Resource Trust Corporation ac	ccounts
pursuant to a power of attorney, to be invested in a Brixon Group partnership. Investors le	ost their
principal; were not insured; and did not receive return-on-investment payments.	

- 14. RESPONDENTS had 128 investors, from Arizona and other states, and \$8,536,495 dollars invested in their <u>Capital Private Placement</u> fund.
 - 15. BRIXON GROUP LTD. is incorporated in the Caribbean Turks and Caicos Islands.
- 16. At all relevant times, JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL held himself out to investors as an officer of Brixon Group Ltd
- 17. From on or about January, 2000, JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL offered and sold Brixon Group Ltd.'s Capital Private Placement fund to investors.
- 18. JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL was convicted of Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud and Conspiracy to Traffic in Counterfeit Goods in case number #CR00046-001, United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia in 1997.
- 19. JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL was imprisoned in case number #CR00046-001 from on or about August 18, 1997 to March 1, 2000.
- 20. JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL was on supervised probation as a result of his conviction in case number #CR00046-001 from on or about March, 2000, to April, 2003.
- 21. JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL did not disclose to <u>Capital Private Placement</u> investors his prior criminal history.
- 22. JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL represented to the U.S. Probation Office that Donald John Manning, a.k.a Don Manning, was his immediate supervisor; that Don Manning was aware of his criminal status; that he was employed by Brixon Group Ltd. as a salesperson at a gross income of \$3000.00 per month; and that he had been employed by Brixon Group Ltd. since January, 2000.
- 23. At all relevant times, DONALD JOHN MANNING held himself out to investors as an officer of Brixon Group Ltd.

- 24. From on or about January, 2000, DONALD JOHN MANNING offered and sold Brixon Group Ltd.'s Capital Private Placement fund to investors.
- 25. DONALD JOHN MANNING did not disclose to Capital Private Placement investors Joseph Wayne McCool's prior criminal history.
- 26. DONALD JOHN MANNING'S former address was 5304 E. Boise St. Mesa, AZ 85205-8122 from on or about March 1, 2000 until May 2, 2001.
- 27. Funds from Capital Private Funds from Capital Private Placement investors were deposited (wire transfer or check) into an account at Northern Trust Bank.
- 28. Funds were disbursed from the bank account at Northern Trust Bank at the direction of JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL or DONALD JOHN MANNING.
- 29. Funds were transferred from an account at Northern Trust Bank to other United States and foreign bank accounts, to officers of Brixon Group Ltd., to investors, and to other non-investor companies and individuals for their own use.
- 30. At all relevant times JANE DOE MANNING was the spouse of DONALD JOHN MANNING. JANE DOE MANNING is joined in this action under ARS §44-2031(C) for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.
- 31. At all relevant times JANE DOE MCCOOL was the spouse of JOSEPH WAYNE MCCOOL. JANE DOE MCCOOL is joined in this action under ARS §44-2031(C) for the purpose of determining the liability of the marital community.

23

24

25 26 III.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act
- 2. RESPONDENTS offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).

- 3. RESPONDENTS violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. RESPONDENTS violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as a dealer or salesman nor exempt from registration.
- 5. RESPONDENTS violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by (a) employing a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 6. RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 7. RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 8. RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036.

IV.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS, and any of RESPONDENTS' agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act. RESPONDENTS shall not sell any securities in or from Arizona without being registered in Arizona as dealers or salesmen, or exempt from such registration. RESPONDENTS shall not sell securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration.

11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |

10

18 19

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS shall, jointly and severally with other RESPONDENTS in this case against whom an Order is issued, pay restitution to investors shown on the records of the Commission in the amount of \$8,536,445.00, less the amount of any disbursements received by investors, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order. Restitution is due and payable on the date of the Order. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to investors. Any funds that the Commission is unable to disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that RESPONDENT shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$250,000. Payment shall be made in full by cashier's check or money order on the date of this Order, payable to the "State of Arizona." The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered herein and shall become immediately due and payable only after restitution payments have been paid in full, or if RESPONDENTS have defaulted prior to fulfilling RESPONDENTS' restitution obligations. For the purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by any RESPONDENTS shall be an act of default on RESPONDENTS' restitution obligations. If RESPONDENTS do not comply with this order for administrative penalties, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

•••

||...

...

|...

7

Decision No. 68270